UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION – DETROIT

in the matter of:	
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN	Case No. 13-53846-swr Chapter 9
Debtor/	Hon. STEVEN W. RHODES
OBJECTION TO CITY OF DETROI	IT'S PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT [DOCKET 4392]
	hereby states his/her/their OBJECTION TO:
CITY OF DETROIT'S PLAN OF ADJUST	TMENT SEE TO
for the following reasons.	
1. I/we am/are interested in the Bank	cruptcy of the City of Detroit because
2. (I) we object to the above fi I BELLEVE the Voting NOT FAIR AND UNDA SEE ATTACHED	
3. I have/ have not attached ad	lditional sheets to explain and establish my position.
perjury and contempt of Court under the la	made herein are true and correct under penalty of two of the United States of America. will deny the relief sought in said filing.
	Name: Paulette Brown
	Signature: Paulette Blown Address: 19260 LANCAShire DETRUIT, MF 48223
	Email: COOKIE 4130 ATT. NET
Dated:	Date: 7/11/14

I am an experienced election official and have participated in the election process for the City of Detroit Election Commission for over 30 years. It has been my experience that elections are to be run in a way that is fair to all sides. When absentee ballots are sent out in the mail to voters, there is no campaign literature urging voters to vote for any candidate or for any proposal. The election process is strictly neutral. When I received my ballot to vote to approve or reject the plan of adjustment, I received at least one letter, along with my ballot, urging me to vote to approve the plan. There was nothing included in the ballot package urging me to reject the plan. In all fairness, each side should have had an opportunity to influence the voters or neither side should have had an opportunity. The balloting process was unfairly rigged to sway pensioners to vote to approve the plan and therefore, I object to the ballot process.

Paulitte Brown 19260 Lancashire Schroit, MJ 48223 7/11/14